

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/665,315	09/19/2000	Herbert D. Jellinek	FUSN1-01307US0	5540	
28554	7590 07/13/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
VIERRA MAGEN MARCUS & DENIRO LLP			MIRZA, A	MIRZA, ADNAN M	
	ET STREET SUITE 2500 CISCO, CA 94105		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2145		
			DATE MAILED: 07/13/2000	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commons	09/665,315	JELLINEK ET AL.	JELLINEK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Adnan M. Mirza	2145					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence add	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT e, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed "HS from the mailing date of this co ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 A	pril 2006						
<u> </u>	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		ers prosecution as to the	merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under the	· ·	•					
Disposition of Claims	,						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19 and 24-30</u> is/are pending in the	annlication						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	• •						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	WITHOUT CONSIGORATION.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19,24-30</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement						
are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s	s) is objected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PT	O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
 Certified copies of the priority document 	s have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Ap	plication No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	•	received in this National S	Stage				
application from the International Burea	, , , ,						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not r	eceived.					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ımmary (PTO-413)					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 		/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO	-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>04/21/2006</u> .	6) Other:		,				

Art Unit: 2145

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-19, 24-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over De Boor et al (U.S. 2003/0084121), Kikinis (U.S. 6,553,410) and Maxwell et al (U.S. 6,589,290)

As per claims 1,28 De Boor disclosed a method of operating a server machine to perform server actions, the method comprising: storing, said server machine, a template having pre-defined user data for use in performing server actions, wherein the template includes a plurality of fields, attribute data associated with each of the fields (Page. 2, paragraph. 0024), and previously entered user data associated with at least one field of said plurality, wherein the attribute data indicates whether it is necessary to obtain information to complete a corresponding field from users of the template; receiving a request from a client machine for performance of a server action using the template (Page. 4, Paragraph. 0035, Page. 9, Paragraph. 0146); and in response to the request, performing a server action using the template wherein the step of performing the server action includes, for each of the fields of said plurality: determining from the attribute data

whether it is necessary to obtain information to complete the field (Page. 6, paragraph. 0084 & Page. 9, Paragraph. 0147);

However De Boor did not disclose in details if it is not necessary to obtain information to complete the field, then performing the server action without obtaining information from the client machine to complete the field;

In the same field of endeavor Kikinis disclosed a client uploads a sequence of URL's to a proxy server adapted for reduced content data sharing according to the present invention. The client may then provide an initiation signal identifying the Mark-Script, and the server will access the first destination of the Mark-Script, translate the content according to the User's template, and transmit the result to the client device. While the user is viewing the first result, the Mark-Script accesses the second destination, performs the translation, and queues the data for transmission to the user after the user is finished with the data from the first destination. The process proceeds through all of the listed destinations and the results are queued in order for transmission, which typically ready signal from the user (col. 27, lines 34-49).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have incorporated if it is not necessary to obtain information to complete the field, then performing the server action without obtaining information from the client machine to complete the field; and if it is necessary to obtain information to complete the field, then obtaining information from the client machine to complete the field prior to performing the server action as taught by Kikinis in the method of De Boor to have the computer and computerized appliances

Art Unit: 2145

maintained efficiently and significantly enhanced while greatly reducing the aggregate data traffic between data sources and dependent computers and appliances.

However DeBoor-Kikinis did not disclose in detail, "said performing the server action without obtaining information from the client machine includes, for the at least one field, using the previously entered user data to complete the at least one field; and if it is necessary to obtain information to complete the field, then obtaining information from the client machine to complete the field prior to performing the server action".

In the same field of endeavor Maxwell disclosed, "the data utilized to populate the form is supplied by the user and stored on the user's computer before the execution of the data population command. However, it is possible to obtain data from other sources. For example, the data population command may obtain data from a memory device that is available via a communication medium such as computer network (col. 11, lines 35-43).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have incorporated the data utilized to populate the form is supplied by the user and stored on the user's computer before the execution of the data population command. However, it is possible to obtain data from other sources. For example, the data population command may obtain data from a memory device that is available via a communication medium such as computer network in the method of DeBoor-Kikinis to have the computer and computerized appliances maintained

Art Unit: 2145

efficiently and significantly enhanced while greatly reducing the aggregate data traffic between data sources and dependent computers and appliances.

- 4. As per claim 2 DeBoor-Kikinis disclosed further comprising the step of, prior to storing the template, producing the template based on user input received from a second client machine that is different from said client machine (DE Boor, Page. 16, paragraph. 0293).
- 5. As per claims 3, 26-27 DeBoor-KiKinis-Maxwell disclosed wherein the step of producing the template includes: receiving user input that indicates that said field is editable, and receiving user input that specifies a candidate value for said field; and the step of obtaining information from the client machine includes: transmitting the candidate value to said client machine; and receiving a signal from said client machine that indicates that said candidate value is to be used for said field during said server action (De Boor, Page. 16, paragraph. 0267-0273).
- 6. As per claim 4 DeBoor-KiKinis-Maxwell disclosed wherein the second client machine includes a display and keyboard that are not part of the client machine (De Boor, Page. 9, paragraph. 0146).
- 7. As per claim 5 DeBoor-KiKinis-Maxwell disclosed in which the display and keyboard are part of a workstation or personal computer that communicates with the server machine through a network (De Boor, Page. 6, paragraph. 0080).

Art Unit: 2145

8. As per claim 6 DeBoor-KiKinis-Maxwell disclosed in which the network is an Internet (De Boor, Page. 6, paragraph. 0084).

- 9. As per claim 7 DeBoor-KiKinis-Maxwell disclosed in which the client machine is machine from a set that consists of a portable telephone and a personal digital assistant (De Boor, Page. 5, paragraph. 0070-0071).
- 10. As per claim 8 DeBoor-KiKinis-Maxwell disclosed in which the server action is creation of a message (Kikinis, col. 12, lines 37-41).
- 11. As per claim 9 DeBoor-KiKinis-Maxwell disclosed in which one of the plurality of fields is an address field (Kikinis, col. 11, lines 1-3).
- As per claim 10 DeBoor-KiKinis-Maxwell disclosed wherein: the address field is a to-address field; the template further includes a message type item of data indicating whether the message is a reply to an existing message (Kikinis, col. 14, lines 53-63); the method further comprises, performing the following steps if it is not necessary to obtain information to complete the to-address field: determining from the message type item of data whether the message is a reply; and if the message is a reply, then automatically extracting an address from the existing message to complete the field (De Boor, Page. 8, paragraph. 0117).

Art Unit: 2145

13. As per claim 11 DeBoor-KiKinis-Maxwell disclosed wherein: the at least one field includes the to-address field; the previously entered user data includes an address item of data indicating an address; and the method further comprises the step of using the address item of data to complete the to-address field if the message is not a reply (Kikinis, col. 26, lines 1-18 & col. 27, lines 35-47).

- 14. As per claim 12 DeBoor-KiKinis-Maxwell disclosed in which one of the plurality of fields is a subject field (Kikinis, col. 11, lines 1-3).
- 15. As per claim 13 DeBoor-Kikinis disclosed wherein: the template further includes a message type item of data indicating whether the message is derived from an existing message; the method further includes performing the following steps if it is necessary to obtain information to complete the subject field Kikinis, col. 25, lines 53-67): determining from the message type item of data whether the message is derived from an existing message; and if the message is derived from an existing message, automatically extracting a subject from the existing message to complete the field (De Boor, Page. 9, paragraph. 0156).
- 16. As per claims 14,30 DeBoor-KiKinis-Maxwell disclosed wherein: the at least one field includes the subject field; previously entered user data includes a subject item of data indicating a subject; the method further comprises the step of using the subject item of data to complete the field if the message is not derived from an existing message (De Boor, Page. 10, paragraph. 0160).

Art Unit: 2145

17. As per claim 15 DeBoor-KiKinis-Maxwell disclosed wherein: the at least one field includes a body field; the previously entered user data includes a body item of data indicating a message body; and the method further comprises the step of using the body item of data to complete the field if it is not necessary to obtain information to complete the field (De boor, Page. 14, paragraph. 0235-0236).

- 18. As per claim 16 DeBoor-KiKinis-Maxwell disclosed in which the server action is scheduling an appointment (De Boor, Page. 18, paragraph. 0315).
- 19. As per claim 17 DeBoor-KiKinis-Maxwell disclosed in which the server action is submission of a database query (Kikinis, col. 14, lines 41-53).
- 19. As per claim 18 DeBoor-KiKinis-Maxwell disclosed in which the attribute data includes a flag bit indicating whether it is necessary to obtain information to complete the field (De Boor, Page. 15, paragraph. 0257).
- 20. As per 19 has the same limitation as to claim 1 therefore under the same circumstances claim 19 can be rejected.
- 21. As per claims 21,24, 25,29 DeBoor-KiKinis-Maxwell disclosed a method of performing server actions, the method comprising the steps of receiving first user input for a template;

Application/Control Number: 09/665,315

Art Unit: 2145

storing said template at a server machine; said template includes previously entered user data to serve as input data for one or more fields requiring input for performance of a server action; after said template has been stored, receiving from a client machine a request to perform a server action that requires user input for a plurality of fields (De Boor, Page. 2, paragraph. 0024); receiving a signal from said client machine that indicates whether to use said template to perform said server action; if said signal indicates to use said template to perform said server action, then performing said server action using said previously entered user data as user input for one or more of said plurality of fields (Kikinis, paragraph. 26, lines 1-29); if said signal indicates to not use any template to perform said server action, then performing the steps of requesting user input for said plurality of fields from said client machine; performing said server action using user input received from said client machine for said plurality of fields (De Boor, Page. 14, paragraph. 0232-0235).

Page 9

Art Unit: 2145

Response to Arguments

22. Applicant's arguments and all limitations in the claims filed 04/21/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments are as follows.

(A). Applicant argued on Page. 12 Lines 22-24 that Maxwell does not teach or suggest that, "performing the server action without obtaining information from the client machine includes, for at least one field, using the previously entered user data to complete the at least one field".

As to applicant's argument Maxwell disclosed, "the data utilized to populate the form is supplied by the user and stored on the user's computer before the execution of the data population command. However, it is possible to obtain data from other sources. For example, the data population command may obtain data from a memory device that is available via a communication medium such as computer network (col. 11, lines 35-43)". One ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention knows that data is save in the memory or buffer previously entered and on the data population is restored.

(B). Applicant argued on Page. 11 Lines 26-30 that one ordinary skill in the art would not be motivated to combine teachings directed to user interface pages stored in local memory of a wireless device with teachings directed to data templates "adapted to translate standard WEB pages" or Mark-Scripts "adapted for accessing Web pages one-after-another since the problems and solutions addressed by each are different.

As to applicant's argument DeBoor and Kikinis both priror art fulfill the requirements for motivation to combine their teachings. In DeBoot and Kikinis both are related to the webbrowsing in a connection-less and connection oriented environment. Therefore it would have been obvious to combine the teachings of Kikinis in the method of DeBoor to have the computer and computerized appliances maintained efficiently and significantly enhanced while greatly reducing the aggregate data traffic between data sources and dependent computers and appliances.

Page 11

(C). Applicant argued that prior art failed to disclose "a template for use in performing server actions".

As to applicant's argument DeBoor disclosed the user interface pages are stored in a local memory of the wireless communication device, and fetched by the browser which decodes them and displays the appropriate user interface elements (Page. 2, Pagrah. 0024). One ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention can interpret the User Interface as to Template where both User Interface and the Templates has their data manipulated according to the User needs.

(D). Applicant argued that prior art failed to disclose "performing a server action using the template wherein the step of performing the server action includes determining from the attribute data whether it is necessary to obtain information to complete the field".

Art Unit: 2145

As to applicant's argument Kikinis disclosed the client may then provide an initiation signal identifying the Mark-Script, and the server will access the first destination of the Mark-Script, translate the content according to the User's template, and transmit the result to the client device. While the user is viewing the first result, the Mark-Script accesses the second destination, performs the translation, and queues the data for transmission to the user after the user is finished with the data from the first destination (paragraph. 27, lines 37-46).

(E). Applicant argued that prior art merely translates content for specific clients.

As to applicant's argument Kikinis disclosed While the user is viewing the first result, the Mark-Script accesses the second destination, performs the translation, and queues the data for transmission to the user after the user is finished with the data from the first destination (paragraph. 27, lines 42-46).

(F). Applicant argued that prior art failed to disclose "storing at a location accessible to a server machine, a plurality of templates associated with a plurality of server actions that the server machine can perform".

As to applicants argument Kikinis disclosed accessing a Mark-Script stored at the server and associated with the client device, the Mark-Script listing a sequence of WEB pages to be

Art Unit: 2145

accessed for the client; accessing the listed WEB pages and storing the retrieved data at the server (paragraph. 3, lines 56-61).

(G). Applicant argued that prior art did not disclose previously or pre-defined content that is used to complete fields for server actions.

As to applicants argument De Boor disclosed the text between the <Template> tags on lines 19-25 defines the template text; the escape on line 20 results in the URL "extra:name" being fetched, which replaces the text with whatever data is stored under the variable "name". The screen display shows this as the text "Adam M" (Page. 14, Paragraph. 0235). One ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention knows that the data being fetched stored under the variable "name" considered as pre-defined data.

Art Unit: 2145

Conclusion

23. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

- 24. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Adnan Mirza whose telephone number is (571)-272-3885.
- 25. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday during normal business hours. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Cardone can be reached on (571)-272-3933. The fax for this group is (703)-

Art Unit: 2145

746-7239. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is 571-273-8300.

26. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for un published

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866)-217-9197 (toll-free).

AM

Adnan Mirza

Examiner

JASON CARDONE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER